UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/706,406	11/03/2000	James P. Tagg	TAG P-3	7866
7590 12/19/2007 STEPHEN M. CHIN			EXAMINER	
REED SMITH LLP			BLAIR, DOUGLAS B	
599 LEXINGT 29th FLOOR	ON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		2142	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

$t^2$			AR		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/706,406	TAGG, JAMES P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Douglas B. Blair	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMI 36(a). In no event, however, vill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION, may a reply be timely filed  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<u>ıly 2007</u> .		•		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
	A parto Quayio, 100	0 0.5. 11, 100 0.0. 210.			
Disposition of Claims	_				
4)  Claim(s) <u>21-47</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>21-47</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideratio				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this National Stage ).			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary (PTO-413)  per No(s)/Mail Date  tice of Informal Patent Application  ner:			

09/706,406 Art Unit: 2142

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 21-45, drawn to a method of providing software to a client system and using the software to connect to a target network via a host system, classified in class 709, subclass 203.
- II. Claim 46, drawn to a system comprising a host system, a client system and matching means for establishing a connection with a target network via the client when host and client system requirements match and via the host when the requirements do not match, classified in class 709, subclass 227.
- III. Claim 47, drawn to a cooperative networking system comprising a cooperative agent capable of examining membership information and a matching means that creates a tunnel controlled by the host system, classified in class 709, subclass 223.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as the matching means claimed as part of claim 46 is not required to perform the connection claimed in claim 21. Also claim 46 does not require software that controls a host system to be provided to a client as claim 21 does. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

09/706,406 Art Unit: 2142

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as a cooperative agent which examines membership information. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination III has separate utility such as a cooperative agent which examines membership information and a matching means which performs differing functionality than that of Invention II. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

Application/Control Number:

09/706,406 Art Unit: 2142

inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification,

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the

inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37)

CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated

as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas B. Blair whose telephone number is (571) 272-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

09/706,406

Art Unit: 2142

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Douglas Blair Douglas Blair